



TITLE:

# On Decomposition of Lattice Ideals of a Lattice-Ordered Semigroup (半 群論セミナー)

AUTHOR(S):

MURATA, KENTARO

---

CITATION:

MURATA, KENTARO. On Decomposition of Lattice Ideals of a Lattice-Ordered Semigroup (半群論セミナー). 数理解析研究所講究録 1977, 292: 168-175

ISSUE DATE:

1977-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/106174>

RIGHT:

# ON DECOMPOSITION OF LATTICE Ideals of A LATTICE-ORDERED SEMIGROUP

KENTARO MURATA

Our purpose of the present note is to obtain a unique decomposition theorem of lattice ideals of l-semigroups treated in [2]. The decomposition theorem is a generalization of the unique factorization of elements in the arithmetical l-groups [7]. Applying our theorem to submodules over a maximal bounded order of a ring, we obtain a decomposition of the modules [5].

1. PRELIMINARIES. Let  $L = (L, \cdot, \leq)$  be a (conditionally) complete l-semigroup with multiplicative unity  $e$ . We assume the following two conditions:

(1)  $L$  has a map  $a \mapsto a^{-1}$  into itself with two properties (i)  $aa^{-1}a \leq a$  and (ii)  $axa \leq a$  implies  $a \leq a^{-1}$ .

(2)  $e$  is maximally integral:  $c^2 \leq c$  and  $e \leq c$  imply  $c = e$ .

For any  $a$  of  $L$  we define  $a^* = (a^{-1})^{-1}$ , and define  $a^* \circ b^* = (a^*b^*)^* = (ab)^*$  [2]. Then the set  $L^* = \{a^*; a \in L\}$  is a complete l-group under  $\circ$  and  $\leq$  [3]. Hence the group  $(L^*, \circ)$  is commutative by the well known theorem of l-groups. If we classify  $L$  by the quasi-equal relation  $a \sim b$  defined by  $a^{-1} = b^{-1}$ , then the set  $L/\sim$  of all cosets forms an l-group canonically and it is isomorphic to  $(L^*, \circ, \leq)$ . We now put

the ascending chain condition in the sense of quasi-equality for integral elements of  $L$ . Then we can prove that  $p^* = p$  for any prime  $p$  which is not quasi-equal to  $e$  [2]. In the following  $\mathbb{P}$  will denote the set of all primes not quasi-equal to  $e$ . Then any element  $a$  of  $L$  is factored into a finite number of primes:

$$a \sim \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{\nu(p,a)}$$

where  $\nu(p,a)$  is the  $p$ -exponent of  $a$ . We have then (1°)  $\nu(p,a) = 0$  for all but finite many  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , (2°)  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $\nu(p,a) = \nu(p,b)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , (3°)  $\nu(p,a) = \nu(p,a^*)$ , (4°)  $\nu(p,ab) = \nu(p,a) + \nu(p,b)$ , (5°)  $\nu(p,a \cup b) = \min \{ \nu(p,a), \nu(p,b) \}$ , (6°)  $a \leq b^*$  (i.e.  $a^* \leq b^*$ ) if and only if  $\nu(p,a) \geq \nu(p,b)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

A lattice ideal (abbr. l-ideal)  $J$  is called closed if  $a \in J$  implies  $a^* \in J$ . Let  $A$  be any non-empty subset of  $L$ , and let  $A'$  be the join semi-lattice generated by  $A$ . Then the set-theoretical union of all principal closed l-ideals  $J(a^*)$ 's generated by  $a \in A'$  is the closed l-ideal generated by  $A$ . Let  $P$  be any subset of  $\mathbb{P}$ . If  $P$  is non-void, the closed l-ideal generated by  $\{p_1^{-1} \cdots p_n^{-1} ; p_i \in P\}$  is called a  $P$ -component of the cone  $I$  and denoted by  $I_P$ . If  $P$  is void,  $I_P$  means  $I$  itself. A  $P$ -component  $J_P$  of the closed l-ideal  $J$  will be defined to be the closed l-ideal generated by  $J \cdot I_P = \{xy ; x \in J, y \in I_P\}$ . For convenience the closed l-ideal generated by the l-ideal  $J$  will be denoted by  $J^*$ . For two l-ideals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  we define quasi-equal relation by  $J_1 \sim J_2 \iff J_1^* = J_2^*$ .  $J_1 \circ J_2$  means the closed l-ideal

generated by  $\{xy; x \in J_1, y \in J_2\}$  for any two l-ideals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ . Then the set of all closed l-ideals  $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{J}, \circ, \subseteq)$  forms a complete l-semigroup which contains the cl-semigroup  $(L^*, \circ, \leq)$  isomorphically. It can be seen that  $(\mathcal{J}, \circ)$  is a commutative semigroup.

The set-theoretical union  $Z_{-\infty}$  of the rational integers  $Z$  and the symbol  $-\infty$  is a totally ordered additive semigroup. For any l-ideal  $J$  of  $L$  we define

$$\nu(p, J) = \inf \{ \nu(p, a); a \in J \}.$$

Fixing  $J$  and moving  $p$  over  $\mathbb{P}$ ,  $\nu(p, J)$  is considered as a map from  $\mathbb{P}$  into  $Z_{-\infty}$ . The map is written by  $\nu_J$ , that is  $\nu_J(p) = \nu(p, J)$ .

Let now  $\sigma$  be a map from  $\mathbb{P}$  into  $Z_{-\infty}$  such that  $\sigma(p) \leq 0$  for almost all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and let  $S$  be the set of all such maps. Then the set  $G$  of all vectors  $[\sigma(p)]$  forms a complete l-semigroup under the usual addition and the order  $\preceq$  defined by  $[\sigma(p)] \preceq [\sigma'(p)] \iff \sigma(p) \geq \sigma'(p)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . In symbol:  $G = (G, +, \preceq)$ .

## 2. LEMMAS AND MAIN RESULTS.

LEMMA 1. For each  $\sigma \in S$ , the set  $K[\sigma]$  of all  $x \in L$  such that  $\nu(p, x) \geq \sigma(p)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  forms a closed l-ideal of  $L$ .

Proof. This is immediate by (2°), (5°) and (6°) in Section 1.

LEMMA 2. For each closed l-ideal  $J$  we have  $K[\nu_J] = J$ .

Proof. Similarly obtained as the proof of Lemma 3 in [7].

LEMMA 3. For each  $\sigma \in S$  we have  $\nu_{K[\sigma]} = \sigma$ .

Proof. Similarly obtained as the proof of Lemma 4 in [7].

By using LEMMAS 2 and 3 we obtain the following

THEOREM 1. The map  $f: J \mapsto f(J) = [\nu_J(p)]$  gives an l-semigroup isomorphism from  $(\mathcal{J}, \circ, \subseteq)$  onto  $(G, +, \leq)$ .

Let  $P_+(J)$ ,  $P_0(J)$ ,  $P_-(J)$  and  $P_{-\infty}(J)$  be the sets of primes  $p$  in  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\nu_J(p)$  is positive, zero, negative and  $-\infty$ , respectively.

LEMMA 4. Let  $J$  be a closed l-ideal such that both  $P_+(J)$  and  $P_-(J)$  are void. If  $P_0(J)$  is contained in the set-theoretical union of  $P_0(J(a))$  and  $P_+(J(a))$ , then  $a$  is contained in  $J$  and conversely.

By using Corollary to Theorem 2.3 in [2] we get the following

LEMMA 5. Let  $J$  be a closed l-ideal. If  $J$  is multiplicatively closed, the vector  $f(J)$  has no integral coordinate except zero, and vice versa.

LEMMA 6. Let  $J$  be a closed l-ideal containing the cone  $I$ . If  $J$  is closed under multiplication,  $J$  is the  $P_{-\infty}(J)$ -component of  $I$ .

THEOREM 2. Any l-ideal  $J$  of  $L$  is decomposed as follows:

$$(*) \quad J \sim \prod_{p \in P_+} J(p^{\nu_p}) \cdot \left( \bigvee_{p \in P_-} J(\bigcup' p^{\nu_p}) \right) \cdot I_P.$$

where  $\nu_p = \nu_J(p)$ ,  $P_+ = P_+(J^*)$ ,  $P_- = P_-(J^*)$ ,  $\bigcup'$  denotes a finite join and  $\bigvee$  denotes the set-theoretical union of all  $J(\bigcup' p^{\nu_p})$ . Conversely, let  $A, B, C$  be any three subsets of  $\mathbb{P}$  such that they are disjoint and one of them is finite, e. g. so is  $A$ , and let  $\alpha_q$  and  $-\beta_q$  be positive and negative integers respectively such that  $\alpha_q$  corresponds  $q \in A$  and  $-\beta_q$  corresponds to  $q \in B$ . Then

$$(**) \quad \prod_{q \in A} J(q^{\alpha_q}) \cdot \left( \bigvee_{q \in B} J(\bigcup' q^{-\beta_q}) \right) \cdot I_C$$

is an  $l$ -ideal of  $L$ . Moreover if  $J$  of  $(*)$  is quasi-equal to  $(**)$ , then  $P_+ = A$ ,  $P_- = B$ ,  $P_{-\infty} = C$ ,  $\nu_p = \alpha_q$  ( $p \in P_+$ ),  $\nu_p = -\beta_q$  ( $p \in P_-$ ) by suitable enumeration of  $p$ ; that is, the decomposition  $(*)$  is unique within quasi-equality.

Proof. Let  $J$  be any  $l$ -ideal of  $L$ . Firstly we suppose that  $J$  is closed.  $f(J)$  is represented as  $f(J) = u_+(J) + u_-(J) + u_{-\infty}(J)$ , where  $u_+(J)$ ,  $u_-(J)$ ,  $u_{-\infty}(J)$  are the vectors whose  $p$ -coordinates are  $\nu_J(p)$  if  $p$  is positive-, negative-,  $-\infty$ -spots (zero otherwise), respectively. It is clear that  $f^{-1}(u_+(J)) = \prod_{p \in P_+} J(p)^{\nu_p}$ . Take any element  $a$  of  $f^{-1}(u_-(J))$ , and let  $a^* = p_1^{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ p_n^{\lambda_n}$ ,  $p_i \in P$ . If  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $a^*$  is integral, hence so is the element  $a$ . Therefore  $a$  is contained in  $\bigvee J(\circ' p^{\nu_p})$ . If  $\lambda_1 < 0, \dots, \lambda_r < 0, \lambda_{r+1} > 0, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$  for  $r$  with  $0 < r \leq n$ , then we obtain  $a \leq a^* \leq p_1^{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ p_r^{\lambda_r} \leq (p_1^{\nu_{p_1}} \cup \dots \cup p_r^{\nu_{p_r}})^* = p_1^{\nu_{p_1}} \circ \dots \circ p_r^{\nu_{p_r}}$ . This implies  $a \in J(p_1^{\nu_{p_1}} \circ \dots \circ p_r^{\nu_{p_r}})$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(u_-(J)) \subseteq \bigvee J(\circ' p_i^{\nu_{p_i}})$ . The converse inclusion is easy to see. Next, by using LEMMAS 5 and 6 we obtain  $f^{-1}(u_{-\infty}(J)) = I_{P_{-\infty}(J)}$ . The last part of the theorem can be proved easily.

### 3. APPLICATION.

1. Let  $R$  be a noncommutative ring with a bounded maximal order  $\sigma$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be all the non-zero fractional two-sided  $\sigma$ -ideals (abbr. ideals) in  $R$  [4].  $\mathcal{L}$  is then a conditionally complete  $l$ -semi-group under module-product and set-inclusion. We assume throughout this paragraph that the ascending chain condition in the sense of

quasi-equality holds for integral ideals [1]. The term submodule means a two-sided  $\sigma$ -submodule of  $R$  which contains a regular element of  $R$ . A submodule  $M$  of  $R$  is said to be closed if  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq M$  implies  $\mathfrak{a}^* = (\mathfrak{a}^{-1})^{-1} \subseteq M$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal and  $\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The set-theoretical union  $M^*$  of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  for the ideals  $\mathfrak{a}$  contained in  $M$  is the closed submodule generated by  $M$ . Two submodules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are said to be quasi-equal iff  $M_1^* = M_2^*$ . In symbol:  $M_1 \sim M_2$ . If we define  $M_1 M_2$  of any two closed submodules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  to be the set-theoretical union of all ideals  $(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i \mathfrak{b}_i)^*$  where  $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq M_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}_i \subseteq M_2$ , then the set  $\mathfrak{M}^* = (\mathfrak{M}^*, \cdot, \subseteq)$  of all closed submodules of  $R$  forms a commutative cl-semigroup. If we classify the cl-semigroup  $\mathfrak{M}$  consisting of all submodules of  $R$  by the quasi-equal relation  $\sim$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}/\sim$ , the set of all cosets  $[M_1], [M_2], \dots$ , is a commutative cl-semigroup which is isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{M}^*, \cdot, \subseteq)$ , where the product of two cosets is the coset containing  $(M_1 M_2)^*$  and the order  $\leq$  is defined by  $[M_1] \leq [M_2] \iff M_1^* \subseteq M_2^*$ . Let  $J$  be any closed l-ideal of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the set-theoretical union  $M(J)$  of all ideals in  $J$  is a closed submodule of  $R$ . Conversely the correction  $J(M)$  of all ideals in the closed submodule  $M$  is an l-ideal of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then we have  $J \mapsto M(J) \mapsto J(M(J)) = J$  and  $M \mapsto J(M) \mapsto M(J(M)) = M$ . Let  $(\mathcal{L}^*, \circ, \subseteq)$  be the cl-semigroup consisting of all closed l-ideals in  $\mathcal{L}$ , where " $\circ$ " is defined as in the former section. Then the map  $M \mapsto J(M)$  gives an l-semigroup isomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{M}^*, \cdot, \subseteq)$  onto  $(\mathcal{L}^*, \circ, \subseteq)$ . Under that isomorphism the cl-group consisting of all ideals corresponds to the

principal lattice ideals. By using THEOREM 2 we obtain:

$$M \sim f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} (\sum' q_\lambda^{-\beta_\lambda}) \sigma_P$$

where  $P_+(J(M)) = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ ,  $\alpha_i = \nu_{f_i}$ ,  $P_-(J(M)) = \{q_\lambda\}$ ,  $\beta_\lambda = -\nu_{q_\lambda}$ ,  $P$  is the complement of  $P_\infty(J(M))$  in the set of all prime ideals not quasi-equal to  $\sigma$ ,  $\sum'$  denotes the restricted sum, and  $\sigma_P$  is the  $P$ -component of  $\sigma$ . Moreover the above decomposition is unique within quasi-equality. If in particular  $\sigma$  is Asano, each (non-zero) submodule of  $R$  is uniquely decomposed (within commutativity) as follows:

$$M = f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} (\sum' q_\lambda^{-\beta_\lambda}) \sigma_P.$$

Furthermore the  $P_1$ -component  $M_{P_1}$  of  $M$  is represented as follows:

$$M_{P_1} = f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r} (\sum' q_\lambda^{-\beta_\lambda}) \sigma_{P \vee P_1}$$

where  $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\} - P_1$  and  $\{q_\lambda\} = \{q_\lambda\} - P_1$  (Cf. [4] and [5].)

2. Let  $\sigma$  be a Dedekind domain with its quotient field  $K$ . Then any non-zero  $\sigma$ -submodule  $M$  of  $K$  can be decomposed as in the case of the former paragraph. By using the decomposition we can prove the following statements.

The map  $\varphi: x \mapsto \varphi(x)$  from a non-zero  $\sigma$ -submodule  $M_1$  to a non-zero  $\sigma$ -submodule  $M_2$  is an  $\sigma$ -isomorphism if and only if there exists a non-zero element  $t$  of  $K$  such that  $\varphi(x) = tx$  for all  $x \in M_1$ . Two non-zero  $\sigma$ -submodules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are said to have the same  $-\infty$ -type iff  $\sigma_{P_\infty(M_1)} = \sigma_{P_\infty(M_2)}$ . Then in order that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have



the same  $-\infty$ -type, it is necessary and sufficient that there is an ideal  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $M_2 = M_1 \mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the ideal generated by all prime ideals in  $P_{-\infty}(M)$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an ideal. Then  $M$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -isomorphic to  $M\mathcal{O}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{O}$  is represented as  $\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{m}(a)$  for a non-zero element  $a$  of  $K$ . Any intermediate ring  $T$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $K$  is a  $P$ -component of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and it is a Dedekind ring. An integral  $T$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $T$  is prime if and only if  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{f}T$ , where  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a prime ideal in  $P_0(T)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Asano, Zur Arithmetik in Schieftringen I, Osaka Math. J. **1** (1949) 98-134.
- [2] K. Asano and K. Murata, Arithmetical ideal theory in semigroups, Journ. Institute of Polytec., Osaka City Univ. **4** (1953) 9-33.
- [3] L. Fuchs, Partially ordered algebraic systems, International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Math., **28** (1963).
- [4] N. Jacobson, The theory of rings, Mathematical Surveys II, Amer. Math. Soc. (1943).
- [5] K. Murata, On submodules over an Asano order of a ring, Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974) 584-588.
- [6] K. Murata, On lattice ideals in a conditionally complete lattice-ordered semigroup (Forthcoming).
- [7] K. Murata, On lattice ideals in arithmetical lattice-ordered groups, "Sugaku (Math. Soc. of Japan)" **29** No.1 (in Japanese) (1977).